Previous references. Sls: 1 of 1889–1890. Sls 1–2 B.

~~J. J. 75 of 1903-04,~~
Sl. Nos 1 to 10. have been recorded as below:–
Sl. Nos 1-2 + 5-6 into Sls: 1-4 B of 68 B of 02-03.
" 3-4 " into Sls 5-6 B of Sls: 190 1
Sls 7-10 into 1-4 B of Sls: 68 A of 02-03 (1-6 B)
~~(III~~ bonds.)

File No. 73 J 1903-02.

Later references.
File No 84 of 05,
Sl: 02, filed – Sept 05

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 11 to 17

PART B FOR August 1906.

SUBJECT.

Prosecution of Nandyandi Raghavendrappa for misusing a Revenue Stamp.

P. T. O.

FILE No. 75 of 1903-04.

Prosecution of Nandugudi Raghavendrappa for misusing a service stamp.

11 From the District Magistrate, Tumkur, No. 672, dated 30th June 1904.
States that the accused was acquitted by the 2nd Assistant Commissioner-Magistrate, Tumkur, and that the son of the accused, who supplied the service stamp has been ordered to be traced and prosecuted.

12 To the District Magistrate, Tumkur, No. 37 J., dated 5th July 1904.
Requests that the records of the above case may be forwarded for reference.

13 From the District Magistrate, Tumkur, No. 17, dated 12th July 1904.
States that the records were called for by and accordingly sent to the Registrar of the Chief Court, on the 6th July 1904.

14 From the District Magistrate, Tumkur, No. 26, dated 16th July 1904.
Requests that the Government Advocate may be directed to prefer an appeal against the order of acquittal mentioned in Serial No. 11 above. (With an enclosure, memo of grounds for an appeal).

15 To the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, No. 230 J., dated 29th July 1904.
Requests that the result of the Chief Court's revision of the above order of acquittal may be duly intimated to Government.

16 From the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, No. 848 - 54, dated 4th August 1904.
Forwards for information, the order of the Chief Court in Criminal Revision Case No. 3 on the file of that Court.

17 Government Proceedings No. 465, dated 18th August 1904.
Observes that, having regard to the order of the Chief Court referred to in Serial No. 16, an appeal as proposed by the District Magistrate in Serial No. 14 is inexpedient.

J. F. 75 of 03-04, Serial Nos 1 to 10.

G.R.S. 11] 1903:04.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 215 of 1903-04.

FILE HEADING.

Prosecution of Nandagudi Raghavendrappa for [illegible] used a fancy label.

From

The District Magistrate, Tumkur.

No. 672 Dated 30-6-04. Received 2nd July 1904.

Diary No. J.9.	Enclos. -	Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 11.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that Raghavendrappa has been acquitted by the 2nd Assistant Commissioner Magistrate, and that the Police have been asked to trace his son who supplied the stamp, and to prosecute him.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

"I wish to see the records, which please call for."
(Sd) K.S. L.
2.7.04.

No. 672, to the District Magistrate, dated 5th July 1904,
Requests that the records in the case may be
forwarded for reference.

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—5,000—18-8-04

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 75 of 1903 -do-

Serial No. 12

Letter No. 17 dated the 12th July 1904. from the District Magistrate, Dumka District.

States that the records of the Case in which Nandagudi Raghavendrappa was tried for the misuse of a Service Label and acquitted of the charge were called for by the Chief Court of Mysore and were sent to the Registrar with the District Magistrate's Docket No. 12 of the 6th instg. -

Letter for orders

NN 13/7/04 NR 13.7.04

File in the present 14/7/04

Ltr from the District Magistrate, Dumka, No. 26 dated the 16th July 1904.

Requests that the Govt. Advocate may be directed to prefer an appeal against the order of acquittal passed by the 2nd General Assistant Commissioner & 1st Class Magistrate, in Criminal Case No. 66 of 03-04, and forward a memo setting forth

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

G.R.S., 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 75 of 1903-04

Serial No.

the grounds of appeal against the order of acquittal.

4 Perhaps the result of the revision applied for by the Chief Court may be awaited.

Advocate 23/7/04 RDR 23-7-04

5 Eggs, as it is unpracticable to draw orders in the absence of the Chief Court for preferring an appeal may not expire, please ~~bring this up again~~ enquire of the Registrar, when the case on revision is coming up before the Chief Court.

23/7/04

Submitted with a draft

Advocate 23/7/04 RDR 27-7-04

6. Done 27.7.04

2 The Registrar, Chief Court, No. 280-75/03-04 dated the 29th July 04.

Requests to be informed when the order in the criminal case is likely to come up on revision also of the result of the case on revision.

From the Registrar, Chief Court No. 848/54 dated the 4th August 1904.

Forwards copy of the order in Revision

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-04

G.R.S. 12]

4

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 75 of 1903

Serial No.

1. Order passed by the 2nd Special Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate, Dumka and the connected records which were said by the ~~Deputy Commissioner~~ District Magistrate, Dumka to have been forwarded to the Registrar which however have not been received. After receipt of the records from the Registrar, the same may perhaps be forwarded to the Govt Advocate to ascertain his opinion as to whether an appeal may be preferred, as desired by the District Magistrate.

Submitted with a draft for approval or for such orders

10/8/04 NR 10-8-04

I think the Dt Magte's letter No 26 dt 16.7 may be recorded with the remark that, having regard to the order passed by the Chief Court in the Revision case, an appeal is inexpedient.

Draft Submitted 12/8/04 NR 12-8-04

Note—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—16,000—19-5-04

(G.R.S. 12)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 75 of 1903-07.

Serial No.

313
12.8.04

Re Divorce (Mst. Ittal Bi-)

12 May

The matter has been referred by the Chief Court on the revision side. No further action is called for. Proceedings be closed.

13/8/04.

Draft submitted to the Secretary sahib for approval

13.8.1904

956
13.VIII.04.

Draft issued as approved by the Secretary No. 465, dated 18th August 1904; returning the above correspondence with the remark that it is inexpedient to prefer an appeal, as proposed by the Dy. Commissioner.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-04

21 Court

11)

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
JUL 2 1904
SECRETARIAT

89

1903-04.

From The District Magistrate of Tumkur.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore General & Revenue Depttts.

Dated 30th } June 1904.
Despatched }
Received

General No. 672
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that Nandagudi Raghavendrappa who was tried for the murder of a servici label was acquitted and his son who supplied the said label has to be traced out and prosecuted.

J. G. File 75 908-04
Serial no 11

District Magistrate's office,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur 30th June 1904.

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq.
District Magistrate
of Tumkur.

To

B. K. Venkataramaniengar Esq.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to para 2 of Government Proceedings No. 2557 dated 28th May last, I have the honor to state that the case of misuse of half anna Service postage label by Sondegudi Raghavendrappa was investigated by the Police and placed before the 2nd Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate, Tumkur who acquitted the accused Raghavendrappa giving him the benefit of the doubt as no dishonest intention could be imputed to him.

It would appear that it was accused Raghavendrappa's son who supplied him with the Service Postage Label in question.

The

the Superintendent of Police of this District, has been requested to trace out the accused & to arrest him.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

B B Cunningham
for District Magistrate
of Trankar

W.C.

17)

190 - 05

File 75 of 05 of
SC No 12

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To

The District Magistrate of Dharwar

Dated

Despatched 5th July 1905.

Received

General No. 17-75-12

No.

Enclosure No.

H.S. letter No. 672 dated the 28th June 1905. Stating that Mandagudi Raghavendra who was tried for the misuse of a service label was acquitted and his son who published the label has to be traced out and prosecuted

—

With reference to the above has the honor to request that the District Magistrate will be so good as to forward for reference the records in the case

By Order

for Secretary

DVS
4/7/05

G. P. S. 1905

Chief Court 917

4.

Rle 75 (03-04)

J89

MYSORE GOVERNMENT 13 JUL 1904

From 1904

K. Parameswara Iyer Esq.,
Dist. Magistrate
Tumkur District.

To B. K. Venkatavaradiengar Esq.,
Offg: Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 9th July 1904.

Despatched 12th July 1904.

Received

General No. 17
No. 7

Enclosure No.

His docket No. 17-75-12 dated 8th July 1904 requesting that the record of the case in which Nandagudi Raghavendrappa was tried for the misuse of a service label and acquitted of the charge.

Undersigned has the honour to state that the records referred to above were called for by the Chief Court of Mysore and

the same were sent to the Registrar of that Court with this office docket No 12 of the 6th instant.

P B Thimaiah
for District Magistrate
of Tumkur.

III
Draw. (8)
(Courts)

J 140.

1904-05.

From The District Magistrate of Tumkur.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, General Department.

Dated 16th July 1904.

Despatched

Received

General No. 26

No.

Enclosure No. /

Requesting that the Govt. Advocate may be directed to prefer an appeal against the order of Acquittal passed by the 2nd General Assistant Commissioner and 1st class Magistrate in criminal Case No. 66 of 1903-04.

File 75 of 08-09
SC M-14

J 1460

District Magistrate's office,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur 16th July 1904.

From

K. Sesagiri Iyer Esq.
District Magistrate
of Tumkur.

To

B. K. Venkatarangadhingar Esq.
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Memo received with docket No 23 dated 12th July 1904 from the Superintendent of Police of this District, setting forth the grounds of appeal against the order of acquittal passed by the 2nd General Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate in Criminal Case No 66 of 1903-04.

The records of this Case had been called for from the Secretariat vide your docket No. J 75-12 dated 5th July 1904. But as it had also been called for by the Chief Court of Mysore before hand, the reference with which it was

transmitted

transmitted to the Chief Court has been communicated your in my No 17 dated 9th instant.

As urged in the Memo send herewith I venture to think that this is a fit case for preferring an appeal to the Chief Court of Mysore against the order of acquittal under Section 417, C. P. C, and I request therefore that the Government Advocate in Mysore may be directed to prefer an appeal.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B B Hunidas
for District Magistrate
of Tumkur.

MD

Memo

Grounds of Appeal against the Judgment of the 2nd General Asst: Commissioner and 1st class Magistrate in Criminal Case no 66 of 1903 – 1906.

(Govt ~~vs~~ Raghavendrappa)

I. The accused himself admits: —

(a) his possession of the ~~A~~service label

(b) his having used it

& (c) his getting the stamp along with 3 others from his son.

II. The accused first-stated before the Police that he bought the stamp from some unknown person at the Bangalore Railway Station and then —

stated in Court that he was sorry he sent it. This fact shows that he deliberately lied and that he knew that the stamps were not of the kind ordinarily used by private persons, so he says he burnt them as soon as the Police started inquiries.

The accused is a Champagne and as such could not have been ignorant of the time of the stamps he used.

If the stamps were the ordinary postage stamps used by the public, there was no necessity for the accused to

have got down of them all the way from the Krishna District from his son, when they could have been procured at the Kurnigal Post-Office or from the Village Postman. The very fact of his son sending two annas worth of stamps should have roused his suspicion about their nature

VI. The fact of the accused having his name on the cover is no criterion to decide that he was innocent of the fact that the stamps was not a Service label, as the villagers generally put their names and addresses on the covers they use.

Dist Supt of Police

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 75 of 03-04

Serial No. 15

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 280-(75 of 03-04)

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Courts)
, the 27/7/04 190 .

To

The Registrar, Chief Court.

Date of issue 29/7/04

Signed by Mr K S Chandra Sekhara Iyer

Has the honour to request that he will be so good as to intimate to this office when the order in Criminal Case No. 66 of 1503.04 on the file of the 2nd Grade Assistant Commissioner and 1st class Magistrate, Immiker (Govt. vs Rajamundappa) is likely to come up before the Chief Court on revision. The result of the revision may also be intimated in due course.

By order.

27-7-04

for Secretary

29/7/04
27/7/04

over.

SUBJECT.

File 75 of 03-04 No 329
Sl. no. 16

12

Bangalore, 4th August 1904.

Sir,

No 848 Sn. 1
51

V. A. Rajaratna Mooddliar Esquire,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

B. K. Venkatavaradiengar Esquire,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 230 (75 of 1903-04) dated 29th July 1904, I have the honor to forward a copy of the order in Criminal Revision Case No. 3 of 1904-05 on the file of this Court.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,
V. Rajaratnamudliar
Registrar

Mm

In the Chief Court of Mysore at Bangalore.
Monday, the 1st day of August 1904.

Present

Mr Justice H. E. Staley - Chief Judge.
and
Mr Justice H. V. Ranjundayya - Judge.

Criminal Revision Case Nr 3 of 1904-05.

In the matter of the order of acquittal in the case of N. Raghavendrappa, accused in Criminal Case Nr 66 of 1903-04 on the file of the 2d Addl: Asstt: Comr and 1st class Magistrate of Tumkur.

Order.

The accused has used a service stamp on a cover addressed by him to his son. He said that his son had sent it to him and that he did not know that there was anything wrong about it. He was said to have signed his name on the cover, and it appeared that, if he had done so for franking it as an official letter, his guilt would be manifest. The record was therefore called for.

On

On inspecting the cover, we find that it has not been franked in the manner of official correspondence, but merely has the name of the sender written in a corner, as is usually done by the older generation of such writers. The accused is an old man of 61 who knows no English: and we think that the inference of the magistrate that he had no criminal knowledge or intention was quite justifiable.

We see no reason to interfere in the case. The record will be returned.

A true Copy

By Registrar

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Mitra

15

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 75 (1903)
Serial No. 17

Diary No. of letter replied to J 1140

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Courts)

, the 15/8/04 190

Date of issue 19/8/04

Signed by Mr K S Chandrasekhariah

Advd. 13/8/04

READ.—

1) Letter No. 26 dated the 16th July 1904, from the District Magistrate of Tumkur, requesting that the Government Advocate in Mysore may be directed to prefer an appeal against the order of acquittal of the accused passed by the 2nd Grade Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate of Tumkur, in Criminal Case No. 66 of 03-04 on his file (Ref. to Nagamangala)

ORDER THEREON—No.

(2) Letter No. 348/17 from the Registrar [illegible] Mysore, forwarding the copy of the order in Criminal Appeal No. 304/1904 ex-Sharing that there is no reason to interfere with the order of the District Magistrate acquitting the accused.

SUBJECT

over

MGCPB—5,000—21-6-04

By the Steamer. — No: 4466 — 7/8/04
C.750802-4.

Recorded with the remark that, having regard to the order passed by the Chief Court in the revision Case, an appeal in this case as proposed by the Dt Magistrate is expedient.

13/8/1904

2-8-04 Secretary.

To

The District Magistrate of the Amritsar District,

Dated 12-8-04

Signed P. H. K. 17-8-04.

Examd. [illegible]
17/8/1904

Received 15.VIII.04

Issued 17.8.1904